WIRELESS MESH GAS DETECTION FOR OIL AND GAS EXPLORATION

Workers operating in the oil and gas exploration industries are at risk for exposure to sour gas—gas containing H2S (hydrogen sulfide) at potentially toxic levels. Operations located in densely populated areas pose additional threats of exposure to the general public. The danger can develop over time, even if the gas source was initially “sweet” (low in H2S). Local and national regulations define acceptable exposure levels for workers and the public. Over the years, industry has adopted low-level H2S detection, and continues to look for improved technology to ensure the safety of its workers and the community while meeting regulation requirements.

Safety managers need the ability to remotely view sensor readings in real-time to make quick safety decisions and to contain the threat. With technological advancements in wireless communication, more and more companies are looking to wide-area wireless gas detection systems to meet their detection needs, because these systems are much faster and cheaper to deploy than traditional wired solutions. They eliminate the need to transport heavy and expensive cables, plus they remove the need to dig trenches or install extensive wire networks. This application note provides an overview of the following:

- Conventional wireless gas detection methods used within the oil and gas industry, with highlights on their limitations associated with interference, complexity, and security.
- Newer technologies available today, including the use of Mesh radios, which speed up deploy-ment and provide stable communications.
- Why Mesh wireless technology should be considered as the backbone for remote gas detection in oil and gas exploration and transportation. Fixed-Frequency Narrowband Transmission

FIXED-FREQUENCY NARROWBAND TRANSMISSION

Initial wireless gas detection systems deployed in the oil and gas industry utilized fixed-frequency narrowband transmission modems. Figure 1 illustrates what a traditional narrow-band signal might look like on an ordinary spectrum analyzer. These modems transmit gas concentration readings from one sensor to a controller.

![Figure 1. Narrowband fixed-frequency signal](image)

Any obstacles between the sensor and controller cause signal loss. In addition, any “noise” from other wireless sources can easily interfere with the system’s operation. This type of co-channel interference occurs when two modems broadcast on the same frequency. As more and more devices using these types of modems were deployed, interference issues became a bigger concern. Because reliable, uninterrupted data transfer from sensors to controllers cannot be compromised, many companies have started to look for alternative wireless solutions that do not have these inherent limitations.

NEWER WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES

Wider acceptance and application of wireless technology in many industries has accelerated the advancement of digital data processing and communication. Manufacturers of wireless gas detection systems have started to incorporate these technologies into their systems to address shortcomings of earlier offerings.

In order to accommodate multiple transmissions within the same frequency band, the narrowband signal can be spread out. The two most common methods for spreading the signal are frequency-hopping spread-spectrum (FHSS) and direct sequence spread-spectrum (DSSS).
In an FHSS system, the transmission frequency is randomly varied with time. At any particular moment, the system essentially operates using narrowband transmission, but because the modems are continuously jumping to different frequencies (up to 1,600 times per second), co-channel interference issues are greatly reduced.

In a DSSS system (Figure 2), the signal is spread over several frequencies and transmitted at the same time. By injecting a spreading code into the data, the resultant narrowband signal is broadened. The additional bits are not random, but rather are configured in an exact sequence that allows multiple transmissions to reside in the same spectral band simultaneously. The ordering also provides a method of correcting for various errors that may crop up during the wireless transmission. Finally, the spreading of the signal results in increased security because the signal appears much like noise.

These types of signal-spreading technologies offer solutions for co-channel interference, but they do not address the issue of bypassing obstacles. In addition, the high operating power requirements of these modems limit their use for wireless gas detection within the oil and gas industry. The need exists to combine these capabilities with other technologies to make systems practical for applications such as air monitoring on an oil-drilling rig or onboard a petrochemical shipping vessel.

**MESH MODEMS: A BETTER WIRELESS SOLUTION**

Mesh modems have the ability to automatically route the wireless signal to other nearby modems allowing them to easily bypass obstacles and increase the transmission distance. They can run for long periods of time because they require very little power to operate. Mesh networks require minimal programming because the modems locate the best path back to a controller and connect automatically. Any loss of signal is detected, and the network will identify the best method to re-route the signal and heal itself.
**FMC-2000 Wireless Multi-Channel Controller**
- Built-in mesh radio wireless modem for MeshGuard family
- Manage up to 24 channels
- 4 programmable SPDT relay outputs
- Built-in backup battery for up to 14 hours of operation
- Stainless-steel enclosure

**MeshGuard Battery-Operated Wireless Gas Detector**
- Built-in mesh radio wireless modem
- Up to six months run time on disposable battery
- Continuous display of gas concentration in ppm
- Loud audio and bright visual alarms
- Highly resistant to RFI and EMI
- Small and lightweight
- IP-65 rated weather resistant